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#### Abstract

$\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sb}(1)$ reacts with MeI to form $\left[\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbMe}\right] \mathrm{I}(2)$. The action of excess $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ on 2 gives $\left[\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbMe}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{12}(3)\right.$. 3 is synthesized in $78 \%$ yield by addition of $\mathrm{SbI}_{3}$ to 2 . The crystal structures of 2 and 3 are reported. The cations of 2 have a distorted tetrahedral coordination at Sb with $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distances of $210.5(20)-215.7(20) \mathrm{pm}$ and $\mathrm{SbC}_{2}$ angles between $103.1^{\circ}$ and $121.7^{\circ} . \mathrm{Sb} \cdots \mathrm{I}$ contacts of 373.3 pm increase the coordination at Sb to distorted trigonal bipyramids with Me and I in the axial positions. The crystals of 3 contain distorted tetrahedral $\left[\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbMe}\right]^{+}$cations and $\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{12}\right)^{3-}$ anions with the approximate structure of two $\mathrm{SbI}_{3}$ molecules (mean $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}$ bond distances 283.2 pm ) capping opposite planes of a $\mathrm{SbI}_{6}^{3-}$ octahedron (mean $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}$ distance 302.4 pm ). In addition to the preparative results the electrochemical properties of 1 are communicated and discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

Recently we found that $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SbI}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ react with formation of golden semiconducting crystals of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Sb}\right)_{3} \mathrm{I}_{8}$ [1]. In this compound linear polyiodide chains are stabilized by weak $\mathrm{Sb} \cdots \mathrm{I}$ interactions. In this context we became interested in anion cation interactions in less symmetrical and better shielded stibonium iodides and related compounds and chose tribenzylmethylstibonium iodides as our synthetic aim. We report here on the oxidative addition of MeI to $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sb}$ (1) with formation of [ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbMe}\right] I$ (2) and on the reaction of 2 with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{SbI}_{3}$ to form $\left[\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbMe}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{12}\right.$ (3). The crystal structures of 2 and 3 are reported. The preparative results prompted an investigation of the redox behaviour of 1 . This work continues our study of the electrochemistry of tertstibines [2] and other organoantimony compounds [3].

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Syntheses and structures of $\left[\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbMe}\right] I$

and $\left[\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbMe}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{12}\right.$ (3)
Tribenzylstibine (1) reacts with excess MeI according to Eq. (1) with formation of tribenzylmethylstiboniumiodide (2). Air stable colourless crystals of 2 suitable for X ray diffractommetry were obtained in $30 \%$ yield by recrystallization from ethanol.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{1}{\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sb}}+\mathrm{MeI} \longrightarrow \underset{2}{\left[\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbMe}\right] \mathrm{I}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reaction of 2 with $I_{2}$ was carried out in a $2: 3$ molar ratio in refluxing ethanol. Instead of the expected addition of $I_{2}$ to 2 with formation of polyiodides a partial fission of $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds occurred and red crystals of $\left[\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbMe}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{12}\right.$ (3) were formed in low yield after recrystallization of the orange initial

Table 1
Crystallographic data for 2 and 3

|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Sb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{I}_{4} \mathrm{Sb}_{2}$ |
| formula weight $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol})$ | 537.1 | 1039.1 |
| crystal colour and shape | colvurless needles | red plates |
| crystal size $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $0.4 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ | $0.8 \times 0.4 \times 0.1$ |
| crystal system | monoclinic | orthorhombic |
| space group | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P c c$ |
| unit cell dimension | $a=1032.3(4) \mathrm{pm}$ | $a=1531.7(5) \mathrm{pm}$ |
|  | $b=941.1(3) \mathrm{pm}$ | $b=2291.2(9) \mathrm{pm}$ |
|  | $c=2214.3(6) \mathrm{pm}$ | $c=2443.0(8) \mathrm{pm}$ |
| volume | $\beta=100.27(2)^{\circ}$ |  |
| Z | $2166.8(13) 10^{6} \mathrm{pm}^{3}$ | $8573(5) 10^{6} \mathrm{pm}^{3}$ |
| density (calc. $)$ | 4 | 12 |
| absorption coefficient | $1.685 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | $2.414 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ |
| $F(000)$ | $2.762 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ | $6.374 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $R$ | 1040 | 5580 |
| $R=\Sigma\left\\|F_{o}\left\|-\left\|F_{c} \\| / \Sigma\right\| F_{o}\right\|\right.$ | $7.64 \%$ | $5.82 \%$ |
| $R_{w}$ | $8.25 \%$ | $5.57 \%$ |
| $\left.R_{w}=\left(\Sigma_{w}\left\|F_{o}\right\|-\left\|F_{c}\right\|\right)^{2} / \Sigma_{w} F_{o}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | $w=\left(\sigma^{2}(\mathrm{~F})+0.0015 \mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{w}=\left(\sigma^{2}(F)+0.0005 F^{2}\right)^{-1}$ |
| weighting scheme |  |  |
| Max. $/$ Min. residual electron density $1.51 /-1.51 \AA^{-3}$ |  |  |

product from acetone. These crystals were used for X-ray crystal structure determination. A better way to synthesize 3 is however by the addition of $\mathrm{SbI}_{3}$ to a solution of 2 in ethanol and acetone (Eq. 2). This method gives 3 as an orange solid in $78 \%$ yield.


The crystallographic data of 2 and 3 are summarized in Table 1. Table 2 contains the atomic coordinates and the equivalent isotropic displacement coefficients. Selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 3. Fig. 1 shows the structure of a pair of ions of 2. There are $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbMe}^{+}$cations where the Sb atoms are in the centres of distorted tetrahedra formed by the methyl and methylene carbon atoms. The phenyl groups are bent towards the methyl groups forming a basket like arrangement around this substituent. Two of the tetrahedral planes of the cations are capped by the $\mathrm{I}^{-}$anions with $\mathrm{Sb} \cdots$ I contact distances of 373.3 pm opposite to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups and 410.8 pm opposite to one of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups. The former distance is substantially smaller than the sum of van der Waals radii of Sb and I that is estimated as $420-430 \mathrm{pm}$. As each iodide occupies the capping positions of two tetrahedral cations the contacts between the ions lead to a $2: 2$ coordination and a chain of the type $\left(\cdots \mathrm{Sb}\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Me} \cdots \mathrm{I} \cdots\right)_{x}$ in a helical arrangement is formed. A comparison of 2 with the more symmetrical stibonium iodide $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SbI}$ reveals major structural differences. The cations of $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SbI}$ are per-
fect tetrahedra with each plane capped $y$ by $\mathrm{I}^{-}$in an $\mathrm{Sb} \cdots$ I distance of $405.6 \mathrm{pm}[4,5]$ and a $4: 4$ coordination of cations and anions. Bicapped tetrahedra and chains formed through anion cation contacts more closely related to 2 are however found in the structure of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Sb}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{MeSbI}_{4}\right)$ [5].

The structure of 3 consists of $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbMe}^{+}$ cations and $\mathrm{Sb}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{12}^{3-}$ anions. The unit cell is shown in Fig. 2. The $\mathrm{Sb}(4)$ cations occupy positions on twofold symmetry axes. In these cations the positions of the carbon atoms of the methyl and methylene groups cannot be distinguished. The structure of the $\mathrm{Sb}(3)$ cations is similar to that of the $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbMe}^{+}$ions


Fig. 1. Structure of 2.

Table 2
Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement coefficients

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |
| I(1) | 0.2512(1) | 0.3839(1) | 0.3340(1) | 0.027(1) |
| Sb(1) | 0.0890(1) | 0.4865(1) | 0.1757(1) | 0.021(1) |
| C(1) | 0.0031(19) | $0.5570(18)$ | $0.0874(10)$ | 0.031(7) |
| C(2) | $0.2934(18)$ | $0.4774(20)$ | 0.1712(10) | 0.033(7) |
| C(21) | 0.3138(16) | $0.4125(17)$ | 0.1151(8) | 0.018(5) |
| C(22) | 0.3082(19) | 0.2665(20) | 0.1066(10) | 0.031(7) |
| C(23) | $0.3186(19)$ | $0.2045(25)$ | 0.0486(10) | $0.036(7)$ |
| C(24) | $0.3328(19)$ | 0.2935(34) | 0.0017(11) | 0.051(10) |
| C(25) | $0.3445(24)$ | $0.4423(33)$ | $0.0097(10)$ | 0.054(10) |
| C(26) | 0.3309(18) | 0.5003(26) | 0.0657(11) | 0.042(7) |
| C(3) | 0.0161(19) | 0.6289(17) | $0.2383(10)$ | 0.032(6) |
| C(31) | -0.1219(16) | 0.6830(21) | $0.2109(8)$ | 0.023(6) |
| C(32) | -0.1318(22) | 0.8101(18) | $0.1834(10)$ | 0.035(7) |
| C(33) | -0.2531(22) | 0.8620(22) | 0.1572(10) | $0.039(8)$ |
| C(34) | -0.3681(22) | 0.7807(27) | $0.1602(11)$ | $0.044(8)$ |
| C(35) | -0.3563(22) | 0.6525(29) | $0.1862(12)$ | 0.052(10) |
| C(36) | -0.2802(21) | 0.6004(23) | $0.2123(10)$ | $0.037(7)$ |
| C(4) | 0.0177(21) | 0.2724(21) | 0.1813(10) | 0.040(8) |
| C(41) | -0.0917(18) | $0.2383(20)$ | $0.1281(10)$ | 0.032(7) |
| C(42) | -0.2227(19) | 0.2613(21) | $0.1360(10)$ | 0.036(7) |
| C(43) | -0.3212(22) | 0.2295(22) | 0.0845(12) | 0.047(9) |
| O(44) | -0.2923(25) | 0.1711(22) | 0.0307(13) | 0.053(10) |
| C(45) | -0.1590(24) | $0.1473(21)$ | $0.0279(13)$ | 0.047(9) |
| C(46) | -0.0606(19) | $0.1782(22)$ | $0.0764(10)$ | $0.035(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)$ | 0.2500 | 0.2500 | $0.1059(1)$ | 0.026(1) |
| 1(1) | 0.3873(1) | 0.2512(1) | $0.0212(1)$ | 0.037(1) |
| I(2) | 0.2655(1) | 0.1187(1) | 0.1115(1) | 0.030(1) |
| I(3) | 0.3965(1) | 0.2587(1) | 0.1933(1) | 0.031(1) |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Sb(2) | 0.4740(1) | 0.1589(1) | 0.1176(1) | 0.029(1) |
| I(4) | 0.5154(1) | 0.0592(1) | 0.0507(1) | 0.045(1) |
| I(5) | 0.6400(1) | $0.2100(1)$ | $0.1004(1)$ | 0.048(1) |
| I(6) | 0.5154(2) | 0.0978(1) | 0.2126(1) | 0.066(1) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(3)$ | 0.7431(1) | 0.5119(1) | $0.0255(1)$ | 0.037(1) |
| C(1) | $0.6154(17)$ | $0.5460(10)$ | 0.0129(9) | $0.061(10)$ |
| C(2) | $0.7761(15)$ | 0.4593(11) | -0.0444(7) | 0.055(10) |
| C(21) | $0.7055(17)$ | 0.4152(10) | -0.0554(9) | 0.042(9) |
| C(22) | $0.7107(20)$ | $0.3645(10)$ | -0.0307(8) | 0.052(10) |
| C(23) | $0.6367(32)$ | $0.3270(16)$ | -0.0363(12) | 0.098(20) |
| C(24) | 0.5758(34) | 0.3408(17) | -0.0665(15) | 0.128(25) |
| C(25) | 0.5650(18) | 0.3919(17) | -0.0942(15) | $0.100(16)$ |
| C(26) | 0.6379(20) | 0.4335(13) | -0.0900(10) | 0.067(12) |
| C(3) | $0.8224(18)$ | $0.5840(11)$ | $0.0513(8)$ | 0.057(10) |
| C(31) | 0.7916(17) | $0.6041(12)$ | $0.1057(8)$ | 0.050(9) |
| C(32) | 0.8308(18) | 0.5793(12) | 0.1519(10) | 0.065(11) |
| C(33) | 0.8014(28) | $0.5996(16)$ | $0.2045(10)$ | 0.092(17) |
| C(34) | $0.7444(31)$ | $0.6382(19)$ | $0.2124(18)$ | $0.117(22)$ |
| C(35) | $0.7041(21)$ | $0.6643(13)$ | $0.1642(15)$ | 0.087(14) |
| C(36) | $0.7312(18)$ | 0.6441 (12) | $0.1137(11)$ | 0.069(11) |
| C(4) | 0.7368(16) | $0.4522(10)$ | $0.0926(7)$ | 0.045(8) |
| C(41) | 0.6431(15) | 0.4472(8) | $0.1134(7)$ | $0.032(7)$ |
| C(42) | $0.6076(16)$ | 0.4856 (11) | 0.1519(7) | 0.043(9) |
| C(43) | $0.5223(19)$ | 0.4774(12) | 0.1716 (8) | 0.059(11) |
| C(44) | $0.4725(16)$ | $0.4301(11)$ | $0.1527(10)$ | 0.057(10) |
| C(45) | $0.5075(16)$ | 0.3930(10) | 0.1151(8) | 0.047(9) |
| C(46) | $0.5912(17)$ | 0.4002(9) | 0.0966(8) | 0.040(8) |
| Sb (4) | 0.2500 | 0.7500 | 0.2448(1) | 0.038(1) |
| C(5) | $0.3388(17)$ | 0.7031(12) | $0.1923(11)$ | 0.064(10) |
| C(51) | $0.3853(28)$ | $0.7406(17)$ | $0.1557(15)$ | $0.079(17)$ |
| C(52) | 0.4802(23) | 0.7474(17) | 0.1680(13) | 0.061(13) |

Table 2 (continued)

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(53)$ | $0.5462(42)$ | $0.7864(22)$ | $0.1401(14)$ | $0.145(28)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(54)$ | $0.5089(27)$ | $0.8220(18)$ | $0.0984(15)$ | $0.072(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(55)$ | $0.4206(35)$ | $0.8189(19)$ | $0.0830(19)$ | $0.102(23)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(56)$ | $0.3572(28)$ | $0.7815(18)$ | $0.1141(18)$ | $0.086(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0.3226(23)$ | $0.8148(12)$ | $0.2885(9)$ | $0.096(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(61)$ | $0.3310(13)$ | $0.8678(7)$ | $0.2509(6)$ | $0.039(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(62)$ | 0.4049 | 0.8769 | 0.2186 | $0.066(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(63)$ | 0.4121 | 0.9275 | 0.1872 | $0.118(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(64)$ | 0.3456 | 0.9690 | 0.1881 | $0.085(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(65)$ | 0.2718 | 0.9600 | 0.2204 | $0.102(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(66)$ | 0.2645 | 0.9094 | 0.2519 | $0.119(21)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Equivalent isotropic $U$ defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $U_{i j}$ tensor ( $10^{-4} \mathrm{pm}^{2}$ ).
in 2. The angles and distances in the anions support a description as $\mathrm{SbI}^{3-}$ octahedra capped on two opposite planes by $\mathrm{SbI}_{3}$ molecules. A stereochemical influence of the lone pairs at $\mathrm{Sb}^{\text {III }}$ is not indicated by the geometric data of the central octahedron but may be discussed for the six coordinated antimony atoms in the capping positions. Recently a similar structure has been determined for the anion of [ $\mathrm{K}(15-c r o w n-$ $\left.5)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{12}$ [6]. The interactions between the anions and the cations in 3 are weaker than in 2 . Two iodine atoms of different anions are in capping positions above tetrahedral planes of the cations at $\mathrm{Sb} \cdots \mathrm{I}$ distances of 409 and 416 pm .

The results of this synthetic and structural work confirm the expectation that the number of $\mathrm{Sb} \cdots \mathrm{I}$ contacts between organostibonium ions and iodides decreases when methyl substituents are replaced by the sterically more demanding benzyl groups. For further studies on stibonium polyiodides however organic groups with stronger $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds should be chosen.

### 2.2. Electrochemical behaviour of $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sb}$ (1)

In tetrahydrofuran (THF) in presence of 0.2 M $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ as supporting electrolyte the polarogram of 1 exhibits a well defined oxidation wave $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ ( $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}=0.4$ V ) and a reduction wave $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}=-2.75 \mathrm{~V}\right.$ ) (Fig. 3a). The height of wave $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ is smaller than that of wave A ( $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}}=1.5$ ). The cyclic voltammogram for 1 suggests a reversible one electron transfer process (system $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{E}^{\prime}$, Fig. 4a) with peak current ratios, peak potential separations and dependance on scan rates typical for a diffusion controlled process ( $\Delta \mathrm{Ep}=80 \mathrm{mV}$ at 100 $\mathrm{mVs}^{-1}, \mathrm{ip}_{\mathrm{E}^{\prime}} / \mathrm{ip}_{\mathrm{E}}=1.13$ ). For the reduction, the corresponding peak $A$ is obtained and during the reverse scan a peak $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime}$ is observed at -1.5 V (Fig. 4b). At the time scale of electrolysis the coulometry data depend on the experimental conditions, in particular on the temperature. At $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the oxidation of 1 on a mercury pool electrode at the potential of wave $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ consumes a quantity of electricity near to 0.6 equivalents of elec-


Fig. 2. Unit cell of 3. For disorder at $\mathrm{Sb}(4)$ see text.
trons and a colourless solution was obtained. No signal was detected by ESR spectroscopy. The polarogram of this electrolyzed solution exhibits two reduction waves, $E^{*}$ and $A^{*}$. The height of wave $A^{*}$ is nearly the same as that of the initial wave A (Fig. 3b). When the temperature of this solution increases from $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reduction wave $\mathrm{E}^{\star}$ disappears and the oxidation wave $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ and two reduction waves $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ appear (Fig. 3c). The same reduction waves $\mathrm{E}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{2}$ and A (see Fig. 3c) were obtained when the electrolysis of 1 was performed at room temperature with consumption of one equivalent of electron. No ESR signal was observed.

At the time scale of voltammetry the one electron oxidation of 1 yields the paramagnetic cation $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sb}^{+}(\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a})$ which is reducible at the potential of peak E. Radical cations of this type are very unstable [7]. As the oxidation process consumes less than one equivalent of electrons at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ we suggest that 1a


Fig. 3. Polarogram of 1 in THF, (a) before electrolysis, (b) after electrolysis at 0.5 V at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (consumption of 0.6 Faraday $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), (c) after evolution at RT.
reacts with 1 to form a diamagnetic dicationic derivative 1b formulated as $\left[\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sb}\right]_{3}^{2+}$. This assumption is based on the following results:
i The reduction of $\mathbf{1 b}$ at the potential of wave $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ (see Fig. 3b) gives 1 according to the reaction (3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sb}\right]_{3}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \underset{\mathbf{1}}{ } \longrightarrow \underset{\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sb}}{3} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii $\mathbf{1 b}$ was formed by a 0.66 electron oxidation of 1 (experimental result: $\mathrm{n}=0.6 \mathrm{e}^{-}$).


Fig. 4. Cyclic voltammogram of 1 in THF on hanging mercury electrode, sweep rate $0.05 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. (a) anodic scan, (b) cathodic scan. Starting potential: $0 \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{a}),-1 \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{~b})$.

Table 3
Selected distances and angles of 2 and 3

| distances [pm] |  | bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 210.5(20) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 103.1(8) |
| Sb(1)-C(2) | 213.1(20) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 105.3(7) |
| Sb(1)-C(3) | 215.8(20) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathbf{S b}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 121.1(7) |
| Sb(1)-C(4) | 215.7(20) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 104.9(7) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 108.4(8) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 112.4(8) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1) \cdots \mathrm{l}$ (1) | 373.3 | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 176.4 |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1) \cdots \mathrm{I}(1 \mathrm{e})$ | 410.8 | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 75.6 |
|  |  | I(1)-Sb(1)-C(3) | 72.9 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 78.7 |
| 3 |  |  |  |
| Sb(1)-l(1) | 295.1(2) | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 89.1(1) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{l}(2)$ | 302.0(2) | I(3) $-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{l}(1)$ | 88.1(1) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-1(3)$ | 310.2(2) | I(3)-Sb(1)-I(2) | 88.7(1) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | 295.1(2) | $\mathrm{I}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 90.9(1) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{l}(2 \mathrm{a})$ | 302.0(2) | $\mathrm{I}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{l}(3)$ | 176.7(1) |
| Sb(1)-I(3a) | 310.2(2) | $\mathrm{I}(2 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 94.5(1) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{l}(2 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 174.9(1) |
|  |  | I(2a)-Sb(1)-I(3) | 87.8(1) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{I}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 93.1(1) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | 94.5(1) |
|  |  | I(1a)-Sb(1)-I(2a) | 89.1(1) |
|  |  | I(1)-Sb(1)-I(3a) | 176.7(1) |
|  |  | I(2)-Sb(1)-I(3a) | 87.8(1) |
|  |  | I(3a)-Sb(1)-I(3a) | 88.1(1) |
|  |  | I(2a)-Sb(1)-I(3a) | 88.7(1) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 343.3 | $\mathrm{I}(5)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | 92.6(1) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 332.7 | I(6)-Sb(2)-I(4) | 91.4(1) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 317.1 | $\mathrm{I}(6)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(5)$ | 97.3(1) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | 288.0(2) | I(4)- $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{l}(3)$ | 170.2 |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(5)$ | 283.2(2) | I(5)-Sb(2)-I(3) | 97.2 |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(6)$ | 278.3(2) | $\mathrm{I}(6)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 87.8 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{l}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{l}(3)$ | 79.1 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 82.3 |
|  |  | I(1)-Sb(2)-I(4) | 100.6 |
|  |  | 1(1)-Sb(2)-1(5) | 89.4 |
|  |  | I(1) $-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{l}(6)$ | 165.9 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | 88.1 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{l}(5)$ | 165.9 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(6)$ | 96.7 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{l}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{l}(1)$ | 76.6 |

Attempts to isolate $\mathbf{1 b}$ failed. The compound is unstable at room temperature and reacts with (residual) water to regenerate 1 (yield $30 \%$ determined by polarography) and to form unknown species which are reduced at the potential of the waves $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$. These species are also formed by one-electron oxidation of 1 at room temperature.

With this background it is interesting to compare the electrochemical behaviour of 1 with the electrooxidation of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in acetonitrile [8-10] giving $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}^{+}$, that reacts with water to give $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PH}^{+}$. A similar reactivity is ruled out here because of the following results.
(i) The regeneration of 1 indicates that no proton is obtained during the chemical process because we have
verified by polarography that upon addition of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ $\left(\mathrm{HPF}_{6}\right)$ on 1 the oxidation wave $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ disappears immediately and an ill-defined wave at -1.18 V appears which can be attributed to the reduction of $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ $\mathrm{SbH}^{+}$.
(ii) We have prepared $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbO}$ [11] by chemical oxidation (air) of 1 and characterized by mass spectroscopy. In polarography. $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbO}$ exhibits two reduction waves at -1.31 V and -1.94 V respectively, the height of the former wave being smaller than of the latter. The waves $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ appear when $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbO}$ is reacted with $\mathrm{HPF}_{6}$. A preliminary study of the reaction of $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbO}$ with $\mathrm{HPF}_{6}$ by spectroscopic techniques (NMR, MS) revealed however that it is rather complex and various products form.

## 3. Experimental part

Manipulations with the air sensitive compound 1 were carried out under Ar in dry oxygen free solvents. 1 was synthesized according to literature procedures [11] and was characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right), 2.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 6.84-6.87,6.93-6.98$ (m, $15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ) and mass spectroscopy (EI, $70 \mathrm{eV}, 135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $m / z$ (rel. int. \%) 394 (2) $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 303$ (27) $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sb}^{+}$, 212 (11) $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}^{+}, 91$ (100). The oxidation of 1 in the air was performed as described [11] giving $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbO}$ (mass spectrum: EI, $70 \mathrm{eV}, 200^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; m / z$ (rel. int. \%) 410 (1) $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 394$ (1) $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sb}, 319$ (4) $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SbO}, 303(20)\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sb}^{+}, 91(100)$.

Experimental data of the crystal structure determination are given in Table 4. The following spectrometers were used: MS, CH 7A. Varian MAT, 8222 Finnigan MAT; NMR, Bruker WH 360, 360 MHz . The MS data refer to ${ }^{121} \mathrm{Sb}$. Voltammetric analyses were carried out in a standard three elctrode cell with a Tacussel UAP4 unit. The reference electrode was a saturated calomel electrode separated from the solution by a

Table 4
Experimental data for the $X$ ray structure determination of 2 and 3
Diffractometer used: Siemens $\mathrm{P}_{4}$; radiation Mo K $\alpha(=0.71073 \AA$ ), graphite monochromator, scan type $2 \theta-\omega$;
3 reference reflections, solution and refinement, direct methods, (full matrix, least squares, $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{I}$, and C anisotropic, H atoms: riding model, system used: Siemens shelxtl plus (VMS) [12]. 2: temperature $153 \mathrm{~K}, 2 \theta$ range $5-53^{\circ}$, index ranges $-1 \leqslant h \leqslant 12$, $-1 \leqslant k \leqslant 11,-27 \leqslant l \leqslant 27$, reflections collected 6294 , independent reflections 4373 ( $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int. }}=0.0962$, observed reflections 2226 ( $F>4.0 \sigma(F)$ ), absorption correction with program difabs [13] 3: temperature $173 \mathrm{~K}, 2 \theta$ range $5-50^{\circ}$, index ranges $0 \leqslant h \leqslant 18$, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant 27,0 \leqslant l \leqslant 29$, reflections collected 8441 , independent reflections 7597 ( $R_{\text {int. }}=0.0085$, observed reflections 3934 ( $F>4.0 \sigma(F)$ ), absorption correction with program DIFABS [13]
sintered glass disk. The auxiliary electrode was a platinum disk electrode. For polarograms a three electrode Tacussel Tipol polarograph was used. The controlled potential electrolyses were performed with an Amel 552 potentiostat coupled to an Amel 721 electronic integrator. Electrolyses were performed in a cell with three compartments seperated with fritted glass of medium porosity. A mercury pool was used as the cathode, a platinum plate as the anode and a saturated calomel electrode as the reference electrode.

### 3.1. Tribenzylmethylstiboniumiodide (2)

An excess of 4.1 g ( 28.9 mmol ) MeI was added to $1.31 \mathrm{~g}(3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1 and the mixture was heated for 2 $h$ under reflux. After the elimination of the volatile components a colourless air stable solid was obtained. Recrystallization from ethanol gave $0.53 \mathrm{~g}(30 \%)$ of 2 as colourless crystals (melting point $138-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) suitable for X-ray diffractommetry. MS (FAB pos., matrix nitrobenzylalcohol, $m / z(\%) 409$ (100) $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ $\mathrm{SbMe}^{+}, 318$ (4), 227 (31), 91 (98), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}(360 \mathrm{MHz})$ $\delta\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) 0.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}), 3.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ ), 6.94-6.97 7.18-7.32 (m, 15H, C6 $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ ). Found C 49.27, H. 4.52; $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{SbI}$ calc. C, $49.20 \mathrm{H} 4.50 \%$.

### 3.2. Tris(tribenzylmethylstibonium) dodecaiodotriantimonate (3)

a) by reaction of 2 with $I_{2} .20 \mathrm{ml}$ ethanol were added to $0.5 \mathrm{~g}\left(0.93 \mathrm{mmol} 1\right.$ and $0.34 \mathrm{~g}(1.36 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{I}_{2}$ and the mixture was boiled under reflux for 30 min . A dark red solution formed. Cooling of the solution to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave 3 as an orange solid. Recrystallization from acetone gave $0.02 \mathrm{~g}(4 \%)$ of red crystals (m.p. 124$125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) that were used for X-ray diffractommetry. MS (FAB pos., matrix nitrobenzylalcohol, $m / z$ (\%) 409 (100) $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SbMe}^{+}, 318$ (4), 227 (31), 91 (100), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}(360 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) 0.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me})$, 3.68 (s. $6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), 6.94-6.98 7.19-7.31 (m, 15 H , $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ). Found C 25.65, H 2.42; $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{Sb}_{6} \mathrm{I}_{12}$ calc. C, 25.42 H $2.32 \%$.
b) by reaction of 2 with $\mathrm{SbI}_{3}$. To a solution of 0.42 g ( 0.78 mmol ) of 2 in 5 ml ethanol and 5 ml acetone, 0.39 $\mathrm{g}(0.78 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{SbI}_{3}$ was added. An orange solid precipitated and was isolated by filtration and dried in the air. The yield was $0.63 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%)$ with a m.p. of $123-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Found C $25.38 \mathrm{H} 2.56 ; \mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{I}_{12}$ calc. C 25.42 H 2.32\% .
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